

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
ATLANTA DIVISION**

ADMIRAL INSURANCE  
COMPANY  
7233 East Butherus Drive  
Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

Plaintiff,

v.

VITUS GROUP LLC  
2607 2<sup>nd</sup> Avenue, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98121

Please Serve:  
CT Corporation System  
711 Capitol Way South, Suite 204  
Olympia, Washington 98501

RIVERWOOD TOWNHOUSES  
INC.  
3645 Marketplace Blvd.  
East Point, Georgia 30344

Please Serve:  
William Henley  
3645 Marketplace Blvd. 130-378  
East Point, Georgia 30344

RIVERWOOD HOUSING  
PARTNERS LP  
415 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue North, #19240  
Seattle, Washington 98109

Case No:

**ADMIRAL INSURANCE  
COMPANY INTERPLEADER  
COMPLAINT 28 U.S.C. §1335**

Please Serve:  
CT Corporation System  
289 South Culver Street  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30046

**GREEN MEADOWS HOUSING  
MANAGEMENT LLC**  
415 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue North #19240  
Seattle, Washington 98109

Please Serve:  
CT Corporation System  
289 South Culver Street  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30046

**GREEN MEADOWS HOUSING  
PARTNERS LP**  
415 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue North #19240  
Seattle, Washington 98109

Please Serve:  
CT Corporation System  
289 South Culver Street  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30046

**VITUS DEVELOPMENT IV, LLC**  
415 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue North #19240  
Seattle, Washington 98109

Please Serve:  
CT Corporation System  
289 South Culver Street  
Lawrenceville, Georgia 30046

ARCO MANAGEMENT OF  
GEORGIA LLC  
5499 North Federal Highway, Suite K  
Boca Raton, Florida 33487

Please Serve:  
United Corporate Services, Inc.  
4228 First Avenue, Suite 14  
Tucker, Georgia 30084

ROBERT SHAW (DECEASED)  
C/O SHEKIA DENISE SHAW  
(MOTHER)

Please Serve:  
Brian C. Mickelsen  
Mickelsen Dalton LLC  
25 Society Street  
Charleston, South Carolina 29401  
[brian@mickelsendalton.com](mailto:brian@mickelsendalton.com)

VICTONIO MOORE  
191 Peachtree Street NE  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Please Serve:  
Anthony R. Jones, II  
Durham Law Group, PC  
191 Peachtree Street NE,  
Suite 2510  
Atlanta, Georgia 30303  
[ajones@durhamlawgrouppc.com](mailto:ajones@durhamlawgrouppc.com)

NATHANIEL ELDER  
(DECEASED)  
C/O DELOIS BRASWELL  
(MOTHER)

Please Serve:  
Brent McIntosh  
W. Calvin Smith II, P.C.  
3560 Lenox Road, NE, Suite 3020  
Atlanta, Georgia 30326

JUSTICE MCCRARY  
C/O ASHLEY MCCRARY  
(MOTHER)

Please Serve:  
Michael Johnson  
Morgan & Morgan  
P.O. Box 57007  
Atlanta, Georgia 30343  
majohnson@forthepeople.com

DOES DEFENDANTS 1-10,

Defendants.

### **THE INTERPLEADER DISPUTE**

1. Admiral Insurance Company (“Admiral”) issued an insurance contract CA000042289-02 to Vitus Group LLC (“Vitus”) as the named insured for a policy period of 5/12/22 – 5/12/23. A true and correct copy of CA000042289-02 is attached as Exhibit 1.
2. Admiral CA000042289-02 is written with Assault or Battery Event—Limited

Coverage (CGL Coverage Form) AD 69 26 03 19, which as more fully outlined below, creates a \$1 million “each event” sublimit for assault or battery claims and a \$2 million aggregate sublimit for assault or battery claims (“AB Sublimits”).

3. Under the terms of Form AD 69 26 03 19, these AB Sublimits are included within and are not in addition to the limits applicable to other types of claims.
4. As a result, all claims potentially covered by the AB Sublimits can erode the policy leaving no limits to pay AB Claims or other types of claims, including exhausting the location limits for general liability coverage.
5. In addition, Supplementary Payments such as defense costs erode the AB Sublimits so that all amounts spent toward defense reduce the amounts available to pay claims.
6. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335, Admiral seeks to interplead the AB Sublimits of CA000042289-02 because there are multiple parties who claim or may claim the benefits of the remaining AB Sublimits and broader policy limits, which exceed \$500 exclusive of interest and costs.
7. Admiral identifies each defendant below and outlines how that defendant claims or may claim benefits arising under CA000042289-02.
8. Once all claimants are brought before the Court as defendants, Admiral will make a Fed. R. Civ. P. 67 and N.D. Ga. L.R. 67.1 motion to deposit the CA000042289-02 remaining limits, identifying a sum certain as the limits continue to erode through defense of claims.
9. If the Court grants that Rule 67 motion, Admiral will ask the Court to discharge its payment responsibilities and enjoin all defendants from asserting further claims related to payment of defense or indemnity under CA000042289-02.
10. The Court may allocate the funds paid, including allowing Vitus or the Additional Insureds to continue to use the policy benefits to defend and settle claims as they see fit.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

11. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335(a), Admiral brings this lawsuit as a civil action of interpleader under this Court's original jurisdiction.
12. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335(a), Admiral has in its custody or possession a policy of insurance with defense and indemnity benefits in a value or amount in excess of \$500.00.
13. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335(a)(1), the defendants identified in Paragraphs 24 - 31 are adverse claimants who have diverse citizenship for purposes of this civil action of interpleader because they are citizens of Georgia and Washington.
14. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335(a)(1), the defendants identified in Paragraphs 24 - 31 have claimed or may claim to be entitled to the benefits of Admiral insurance contract either through defense which erodes the limits or through the payment of claims which may erode the limits.
15. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335(a)(2), Admiral will make a Fed.R.Civ.P. 67 and N.D. Ga. L.R. 67 motion to deposit the remaining limits of the policy, identifying a sum certain as the limits continue to erode through defense of claims.
16. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335(b), Admiral may bring the civil action of interpleader despite that the defendants identified in Paragraphs 24 - 31 may not claim an interest in the policy benefits that arises from common origin or which may not be identical, because the interests of the parties are adverse to the same AB Sublimits.
17. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1397, venue is proper in this judicial district because at least one of the claimants identified as defendants in Paragraphs 24 - 31 reside in this district.
18. Specifically, the defendants identified in Paragraphs 31(a)-(d) reside in this district.
19. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §90(a)(2) venue is proper in the Atlanta Division

because defendants identified in Paragraphs 31(a)-(d) reside in DeKalb or Clayton Counties in Georgia.

20. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §2361, this Court may issue process over all defendants no matter where they reside, to be served by the United States marshal in the district where each claimant defendant resides.
21. Nationwide service of process is authorized by Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(k)(1)(C).

### **THE PARTIES**

22. Admiral is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Arizona. For purposes of jurisdiction, Admiral is a citizen of Delaware and Arizona.
23. Admiral is a disinterested stakeholder under 28 U.S.C. §1335 who seeks to pay the limits on the insurance contract to the registry of the Court so the Court either may allow Vitus or the Additional Insureds to continue their defense using the eroding policy limits or pay the claims of the Individual Defendants.
24. Upon information and belief, Vitus is a Delaware limited liability company with at least one member who is a citizen of Washington for purposes of jurisdiction.
25. The State of Washington identifies the principal place of business of Vitus as 2607 Second Avenue, Suite 300, Seattle, Washington 98121-1289.
26. The State of Washington identifies CT Corporation System as the registered agent for service of process upon Vitus whom may be served summons and process at 711 Capitol Way South, Suite 204, Olympia, Washington 98501-1267.
27. Vitus is a claimant to benefits available under the insurance contract to the extent that Admiral continues to spend amounts to defend Vitus from various claims, thereby reducing the AB Sublimits available under the policy and any location limit for other claims.

28. The entities identified in Paragraph 30 below (collectively “Additional Insureds”) are entities which may be insured under Admiral Policy CA000042289-02 and against whom the Individual Defendants identified in Paragraphs 31 below either have asserted claims or may assert claims against them which claims may be covered by Admiral Policy CA000042289-02.
29. The Additional Insureds are or may be claimants to benefits available under CA000042289-02 to the extent that Admiral continues to spend amounts to defend them or may spend amounts to defend them from various claims, thereby reducing the AB Sublimits available under the policy.
30. The Additional Insured Defendants are:
  - a. Riverwood Townhouses, Inc. is a Georgia corporation with a principal place of business in Georgia. This defendant is alleged to be an owner or manager of an apartment complex located at 681 Flint River Road in Jonesboro, Georgia at which Individual Defendants Shaw and Moore were subject to an alleged assault or battery. Admiral notes that Riverwood Housing Partners LP identified below is endorsed as an additional insured on the Admiral policy but Riverwood Townhouses, Inc. is not and may not be insured.
  - b. Riverwood Housing Partners LP is a Georgia limited partnership with a principal place of business in Washington, and upon information and belief, at least one limited partner who is a Washington citizen. This defendant is an owner or manager of an apartment complex located at 681 Flint River Road in Jonesboro, Georgia at which Individual Defendants Shaw and Moore were subject to an alleged assault or battery.
  - c. Green Meadows Housing Management, LLC is a Georgia limited liability company with a Washington principle place of business, and upon information and belief, has at least one member who is a Washington citizen. This defendant is an owner or manager of an apartment complex located at 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia at which Individual Defendants Elder and McCray were subject to an alleged assault or battery. Admiral notes that Green Meadows Housing Partners LP identified below is endorsed as an additional insured on the Admiral policy but Green Meadows Housing Management LLC is not and may not be insured.

- d. Green Meadows Housing Partners, LP is a Georgia limited partnership with a Washington principle place of business, and upon information and belief, has at least one member who is a Washington citizen. This defendant is an owner or manager of an apartment complex located at 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia at which Individual Defendants Elder and McCray were subject to an alleged assault or battery.
  - e. Vitus Development IV, LLC is a Delaware limited liability company, and upon information and belief, has at least one member who is a Washington citizen. This defendant is an owner or manager of an apartment complex located at 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia at which Individual Defendants Elder and McCray were subject to an alleged assault or battery. Admiral notes that Vitus Group LLC identified above is endorsed as an insured on the Admiral policy but Vitus Group IV, LLC is not and may not be insured.
  - f. ARCO Management of Georgia LLC is a Georgia limited liability company, and upon information and belief, has at least one member who is a Georgia citizen. This defendant is an owner or manager of an apartment complex located at 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia at which Individual Defendants Elder and McCray were subject to an alleged assault or battery. Admiral notes that ARCO Management of Georgia LLC is not endorsed as an additional insured on the Admiral policy and may not be insured.
31. The individuals identified below (collectively “Individual Defendants”) either have asserted claims or may assert claims against Vitus or another insured who may be covered by Admiral Policy CA000042289-02, making them claimants or potential claimants as possible direct action plaintiffs or judgment creditors. These Individual Defendants are:
- a. Admiral has claim A40593 open for the shooting of Robert Shaw, which occurred at or near 681 Flint River Road in Jonesboro, Georgia. Mr. Shaw is deceased, and upon information and belief, was a Georgia citizen before his death. Mr. Shaw’s mother Shekia Shaw, upon information and belief, is a Georgia citizen.

- b. Ms. Shaw has retained legal counsel, Brian C. Mickelson of the Mickelsen Daton, LLC law firm, with an address at 25 Society Street, Charleston, South Carolina 29401, brian@mickelsendalton.com.
  - c. Admiral has claim A43102 open for the shooting of Victonio Moore, which occurred at or near 681 Flint River Road in Jonesboro, Georgia. Mr. Moore is a Georgia citizen.
  - d. Mr. Moore has retained legal counsel, Anthony R. Jones, II of the Durham Law Group, PC law firm, with an address at 191 Peachtree Street NE, Suite 2510, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, ajones@durhamlawgrouppc.com.
  - e. Admiral has claim A41141 open for the shooting of Nathaniel Elder, which occurred at or near 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia. Mr. Elder is deceased, and upon information and belief, was a Georgia citizen before his death. Mr. Elder's mother Delois Braswell, upon information and belief, is a Georgia citizen.
  - f. The family of Mr. Elder has retained legal counsel, Brent McIntosh of the law firm W. Calvin Smith II, P.C., with an address at 3560 Lenox Road, NE, Suite 3020, Atlanta, Georgia 30326.
  - g. Admiral has claim A43558 open for the shooting of Justice McCrary, which occurred at or near 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia. Ms. McCrary is a Georgia citizen who is a minor, and is represented by her mother Ashley McCrary, a Georgia citizen.
  - h. Ms. McCrary has retained legal counsel, Michael Johnson of the law firm Morgan & Morgan, with an address at P.O. Box 57007, Atlanta, Georgia 30343, majohnson@forthepeople.com.
32. The Individual Defendants are individuals who claim to have been injured by an assault or battery at properties owned or managed by Vitus or the Additional Insured. The Individual Defendants may claim to be direct action plaintiffs to the coverage limits available under CA000042289-02 or may claim a right as judgment creditors to those limits.

33. Doe Defendants are potential claimants for whom Admiral does not know the identity or address information because these Doe Defendants have not made any claim upon Vitus or the Additional Insureds.
34. Vitus and the Additional Insureds have provided notice to Admiral for a variety of bodily injuries that have been alleged to occur at premises owned or managed by them and the Doe Defendants are parties whose identities are not known because no claims have been made or identified.

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(Interpleader )**

35. Paragraphs 1 through 34 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
36. Admiral CA000042289-02 (Ex. 1) is written with Assault or Battery Event—Limited Coverage (CGL Coverage Form) Form AD 69 28 03 19, which amends any Commercial General Liability Coverage to set a \$1 million limit for any single event that is an “assault or battery event” as defined by that form and \$2 million in the aggregate for all such events (“AB Sublimits”).
37. Under Form AD 69 28 03 19, the AB Sublimits are reduced by supplementary payments, such as defense costs, so that any amounts spent toward defense reduce the amount available under the policy to pay or indemnify claims.
38. Under Form AD 69 28 03 19, Admiral’s duty to defend and other coverage obligations end when the applicable sub-limit of insurance is used up for the payment of judgments, settlements or Supplementary Payments.
39. Under Form AD 69 28 03 19, an “assault or battery event” is defined to include physical assault or physical battery against an individual by anyone.
40. Under Form AD 69 28 03 19, all claims actually or allegedly arising out of, related to, caused by contributed to by, or in any way connected to assault or battery are excluded from Admiral CA000042289-02 subject only to a Coverage D under the form which extends coverage to AB claims subject to the AB Sublimits and other terms therein.

41. On or about November 21, 2023, legal counsel representing the mother of Individual Defendant Robert Shaw made a policy limits settlement demand for injuries he sustained that resulted in his death from a shooting alleged to have taken place at or near an apartment complex 681 Flint River Road in Jonesboro, Georgia.
42. Admiral agreed to accept the demand and pay the AB sublimit for this alleged assault or battery event, based in part upon an assessment from defense counsel on liability and damages.
43. The Shaw settlement awaits approval.
44. Individual Defendant Victionio Moore filed suit arising from alleged injuries he suffered from a shooting in a case captioned, *Moore v. Riverwood Townhouses, Inc. et al.*, 2023 CV 01492 (Clayton, GA).
45. Admiral has agreed to defend this lawsuit, subject to all policy terms including any self-insured retention.
46. On or about December 5, 2023, counsel representing the family of Individual Defendant Nathaniel Elder contacted the Additional Insureds to demand they disclose their policy limits and available coverage in connection with Mr. Elder's death.
47. On or about January 19, 2024, counsel representing the family of Individual Defendant Nathaniel Elder made a policy limits settlement demand for injuries alleged to have been sustained during a shooting at 3867 Log Cabin Drive in Macon, Georgia.
48. Individual Defendants Justice McCrary and or Ashley McCrary filed suit arising from alleged injuries suffered from a shooting in a case captioned, *McCrary v. ARCO Management of Georgia, LLC, et al.*, 23 A 03560 (DeKalb County, Georgia).
49. Admiral has agreed to defend this lawsuit, subject to all policy terms including any self-insured retention.

50. Counsel for the claimants have made demands upon Admiral to settle assault or battery claims against Vitus and/or the Additional Insureds that can exhaust and already exceed the AB Sublimits.
51. Admiral has agreed to defend claims and lawsuits, subject to the policy terms, including any self-insured retentions.
52. Defense costs continue to erode the AB Sublimits of the policy.
53. The law in Georgia where these three claims arose can impose a duty upon an insurer to accept a policy limits settlement demand in certain circumstances.
54. Admiral now faces multiple or inconsistent obligations because these claims can or will exhaust or already exceed Admiral AB Sublimits which are included within the general aggregate of the policy.
55. All defendants are potential claimants to the remaining AB Sublimits because Individual Defendants may seek to have these funds pay alleged losses if they obtain a judgment against Vitus or the Additional Insureds.
56. Likewise Vitus and the Additional Insureds are claimants to the policy AB Sublimits because they are being defended and will be defended under an AB Sublimits that are eroded by defense costs.
57. Consistent with Fed.R.Civ.P. 67 and N.D. Ga. L.R. 67, Admiral seeks an order to deposit the remaining limits with the Court, allowing any insured to be defended with that amount and allowing any judgment obtained to be satisfied with that amount.
58. Consistent with 28 U.S.C. §2361, Admiral seeks an order discharging it from further payment obligations under the policy and enjoining all defendants from proceeding to litigate insurance coverage or bad faith claims against Admiral arising from or related to the Admiral insurance contract.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, Admiral prays for judgment:

1. The Court issue process for all Defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2361.
2. The Court issue an Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2361 restraining or enjoining all defendants from initiating or prosecuting any proceeding in a different court than this Court concerning coverage or bad faith claims arising from or related to Admiral Policy CA000042289-02.
3. The Court issue an Order providing for the orderly contest of the issues and controversies presented herein consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335;
4. The Court issue an Order consistent with 28 U.S.C. §1335 requiring Admiral to deposit the available limits for all claims which may trigger the policy to be used to satisfy liability to Individual Defendants, payment of Supplementary Payments, or both;
5. The Court issue an Order consistent 28 U.S.C. §1335 releasing and discharging Admiral for any and all liability under or related to Admiral Policy CA000042289-02 as applied to all defendants; and,
6. The Court award such costs, attorney fees, and other relief as allowed by law or in equity.

Respectfully submitted this 29<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2024.

**GRAY, RUST, ST. AMAND,  
MOFFETT & BRIESKE, L.L.P.**  
950 East Paces Ferry Road, N.E.  
Suite 1700 – Salesforce Tower Atlanta  
Atlanta, Georgia 30326  
Telephone: (404) 870-1065  
Facsimile: (404) 870-7374  
E-mail: [mmoffett@grsmb.com](mailto:mmoffett@grsmb.com)  
[cwhite@grsmb.com](mailto:cwhite@grsmb.com)

/s/ *Craig R. White*  
Matthew G. Moffett,  
Georgia State Bar No.: 515323  
Craig R. White  
Georgia State Bar No.: 753030  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*